

BEST PRACTICES IN RESPIRATORY CARE PRODUCTIVITY AND STAFFING

November 8, 2012

This paper provides guidance and considerations in the application of the AARC Position Statement: Best Practices in Respiratory Care Productivity and Staffing adopted by the AARC Board of Directors in July 2012.

Background and purpose

The provision of safe respiratory care is largely dependent on staffing adequate numbers of competent respiratory therapists (RTs). Understaffing puts at risk the welfare and safety of patients and may not allow care consistent with national guidelines and community practice. On the other hand, respiratory services represent a significant expense in the provision of health care and overstaffing respiratory therapists is neither productive nor efficient.

The 2012 AARC Position Paper regarding Respiratory Care Productivity and Staffing was approved and published to address growing concerns that inappropriate measures were being applied to determine the number of RT staff needed at a given institution. This White Paper is intended to provide additional guidance to AARC members and to health care institutions and other providers to ensure that respiratory care productivity and staffing levels are provided within acceptable standards of practice recognized by the profession and that patient safety is protected.

Considerations for rendering respiratory care

Medicare Hospital Conditions of Participation state that there must be adequate numbers of respiratory therapists², and other personnel who meet the qualifications specified by the medical staff, consistent with state law. Medicare Hospital Conditions of Participation further require hospitals that provide respiratory care services to meet the needs of their patients in accordance with acceptable standards of practice. "Acceptable standards of practice" as noted in the Hospital Interpretive Guidelines for State Surveyors include compliance with applicable standards that are "set forth in Federal or State laws, regulations or guidelines, as well as standards and recommendations promoted by nationally recognized professional organizations (e.g., American Association for Respiratory Care, American Medical Association, American Thoracic Society, etc.)."²

The documentation of competency in delivering respiratory care services may be assured by applicable state licensing boards and/or the attainment of respiratory therapy credentials awarded by the National Board for Respiratory Care (NBRC). All respiratory therapists employed by the hospital to deliver bedside respiratory care services must be legally recognized by state licensing laws, where applicable, as competent to provide respiratory care services. For states that do not require licensure, a CRT or an RRT credential from the NBRC should be required to assure documented competency and assure patient safety.

The metrics described in this paper apply to the provision of care in which the RT provides direct oversight of care one patient at a time. Having therapists provide therapy to multiple patients simultaneously may be considered as a mechanism to reduce labor expenses. This practice denies patients the direct supervision of a respiratory therapist for the duration of treatment, thus diminishing quality and potentially placing the patient at risk. Medications delivered

The 2012 AARC Position Paper regarding Respiratory Care Productivity and Staffing was approved and published to address growing concerns that inappropriate measures were being applied to determine the number of RT staff needed at a given institution.

by aerosol and other interventions provided by respiratory therapists are noted to have serious side effects that require rapid recognition and corrective action, which can only be achieved by direct observation of the patient. The practice of providing therapy to multiple patients simultaneously diminishes the respiratory therapist's time needed to observe the patient's tolerance and compliance with the medication and to provide patient education. More to the point of this paper, when multiple patients are treated simultaneously, the time standard for the treatment is no longer valid because it is based on the assumption that the therapist remains at the bedside of each patient throughout the patient's therapy.

Therefore, performing simultaneous treatments leads to reporting productivity values that are erroneously high.

Situation analysis and considerations

From a financial perspective, the over-estimation of staffing requirements leads to unnecessary and avoidable labor expenses. In contrast, understaffing may reduce salary cost in the short term, while producing more expense and lost revenue in the long run. Fiscally, there is much to be gained by staffing appropriately. Threats to revenue can result if prescribed treatments are not delivered and billed. Healthcare reforms associated with value-based purchasing will affect reimbursement payments from Medicare based on both clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction. Thus, each institution should be financially motivated to assure adequate staffing for patients to receive appropriate care and avoid lengthy hospital stays or unnecessary readmissions. Further, missed and delayed treatments increase institutional liability.

Understaffing negatively affects respiratory therapists' morale because of inadequate time to provide needed assessments and care. Low morale may result in increased staff turnover. These are compelling reasons to ensure adequate staffing in the provision of respiratory care.

Any metric, model, or system that is used to define respiratory staffing levels within institutions should recognize and account for all the activities required of a Respiratory Care Department in that institution. These activities vary greatly among institutions, and therefore must be determined on a case-by-case basis and approved by the medical staff and administration in individual hospitals.

Failure to account for all medically necessary interventions, or use of inaccurate metrics of workload, may lead to underestimation of staffing requirements. For instance: An exclusive focus on Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes (or other standards based only on billable activities) can lead to the omission of a large number of non-billed activities from the estimated respiratory care workload. Similarly, relying on internal measures, such as Total Patient Days, Average Daily Census, Adjusted Discharges per Patient Day, and Nursing hours per patient day (which do not accurately reflect respiratory therapist workload intensity), can lead to the omission of important and necessary tasks that contribute to workload and thus provide erroneous estimates of required staffing.

The majority of clinical procedures conducted by respiratory therapists have not been assigned a CPT code. CPT codes describe procedures and services provided by physicians and other health care professionals who bill for reimbursement. However, relatively few have been assigned to procedures and activities provided by respiratory therapists. Examples of activities without CPT codes include but are not limited to:

- Airway Management Procedures
- Assessment/Screening Patients for Obstructive Sleep Apnea
- Assessment/Screening of Patients for Treatment
- Assessment/Screening of Patients for Invasive and Non-Invasive Ventilation

- Assessment/Screening of Patients for VAP
- Assessment/Screening of Patients for Weaning
- Cardio Version Monitoring of the Patient
- Continuous Oximeter
- Disease Management
- End Tidal CO2 Monitoring
- Endotracheal Tube Extubation
- Endotracheal Tube Repositioning and Securing
- Heliox Administration and Monitoring
- Incentive Spirometry
- Inpatient Sleep Apnea Monitoring
- Lung Recruitment Maneuvers
- Management of Patient Monitoring Devices
- Moderate Sedation Monitoring
- Nitric Oxide Administration
- Oxygen Administration and Monitoring
- Patient and Family Education, most instances
- Patient Transports Requiring Mechanical Ventilation or Airway Maintenance
- Rapid Response Calls
- Respiratory Care Consultations
- Spontaneous Breathing Trials
- Tracheotomy Management

In addition, there are additional activities required to support the safe and effective delivery of care that consume therapist time. Many of these support activities are required by regulatory agencies. These activities must also be accounted for and include but not limited to:

- Calibration of Equipment
- Cleaning and Stocking of Equipment
- Clinical Instruction of Students
- Cylinder Inventory Management
- Department and Medical Center Meetings
- In-service Attendance
- Maintenance of Equipment
- Patient Care Report/Handoff
- Patient Care Rounds
- Performance Improvement Activities
- Quality Control of Devices and Procedures
- Staff Education and Training

Recommendations for using metrics to determine staffing levels

- 1. Workload metrics used to predict staffing levels must include all clinical and support activities that respiratory therapists perform, as stated in the AARC position statement. An organization must account for all activities that are driven by physician orders or medical staff approved protocols. If there is an obligation to perform the procedure, it must be used in determining required staff, regardless of eligibility for CMS payment. Clinical support activities should be included, such as labor law mandated paid breaks, shift report, participation in required training, or the need to safety test equipment.
- Because of varying time requirements for different respiratory care procedures, systems to determine staffing should be based upon statistically valid activity time standards for all the services provided by a department. Because of the significant variability in the nature and types of care rendered in treating

- patients in need of respiratory services, unweighted metrics such as patient days, billable procedures with CPT codes, total procedures, etc., should not be used to determine respiratory therapist staffing levels.⁴
- 3. Relative value units (RVUs) have been adopted by CMS for physician reimbursement, and provide another mechanism to weight specific procedures. An RVU-based staffing program must be used with a department staffing plan that provides the ability to flex direct patient care staff based upon service needs. The assessment of work demand (by shift, by day or by hour), based on specific procedure volume and the associated RVU, should be used to drive staffing decisions in which staff can be added or reduced to match demand. Peer-reviewed, evidence-based research indicates that a daily, RVU-based, flex staffing system can meet staffing requirements for patient needs and reduce costs by approximately \$250,000 per year (5 full-time equivalents, FTEs) in a 400-bed hospital.
- 4. When constructing a staffing system, the need for "core staffing" or "minimal staffing" should be determined. This means that some staff is always available to immediately respond to emergency situations such as cardiopulmonary arrest or attendance at high-risk neonatal deliveries. Corestaffing requires consideration and some level of exclusion from being managed through a flex staffing model.
- 5. The literature documents that unscheduled respiratory care activities, such as emergency department procedures, patient transports, rapid response calls, etc., may account for up to 40% of the workload. Staffing should be provided for unscheduled procedures based upon historical data and work rate. Failure to include unscheduled procedures in staffing projections, or failure to recognize peak work rates during the day, result in drastic mismatching between work demand and labor supply.⁷
- 6. Adequate fixed time should be budgeted for operation and support of the Respiratory Care Department for required activities such as mandatory education, department meetings, competency assessment, performance improvement projects, research, and patient safety initiatives. Fixed time should not be included in variable flexed staffing estimates.[§]
- 7. Staffing adjustments, driven by any workload estimation system or benchmarking analyses must include a mechanism to assess the effects of staffing on patient outcomes. Monitoring outcomes like length of stay, COPD readmissions, missed therapy, delays in treatment, and other complications provide data to validate adjustments in staffing. Such monitoring may also minimize risk and improve the ability to provide quality and safe care

Recommendations for using metrics for benchmarking

1. Workload metrics used to predict staffing levels should be distinguished from *metrics used for benchmarking productivity*. Workload metrics used for benchmarking (i.e., the process of comparing performance among different departments for the purposes of identifying best practices) are often based on data that are easily captured through billing systems. Metrics based on such data reflect only a portion of the total workload. However, if properly

- selected to represent the majority of the workload common to different departments, they are appropriate for the purpose of ranking productivity levels.
- 2. Metrics are useful for benchmarking productivity only if they can be demonstrated to reflect the same activities in the departments being compared. Benchmarking metrics based on data representing partial departmental workloads are not appropriate for determining staffing levels (see above).
- 3. Productivity metrics for which the source is undisclosed (common practice among external consultants) or including an arbitrary number of procedures is inappropriate and unacceptable. This type of data degrades the utility of the measures in proportion to the degree of mismatched activities among benchmarking group members.

Summary

The AARC urges organizations that offer Respiratory Care Services to work closely with Respiratory Care Department directors/managers and respiratory therapists to develop comprehensive and realistic metrics, staffing models, and benchmarks which are evidence-based and data-driven. Metrics used for staffing must capture the full range of activities required of respiratory therapists in order to ensure consistent, safe, cost-effective, and high quality care. Metrics used for comparing productivity among different departments may be based on a restricted range of activities provided that such activities are common to all the departments in the compare group.

Understaffing respiratory care services places patients at risk for unsafe incidents, missed treatments, and delays in medication delivery, as well as increases the liability risk for hospitals. On the other hand, appropriate staffing levels help assure that a consistent standard of respiratory care is provided throughout the hospital. Adequate staffing levels decrease the potential for error and harm by providing respiratory therapists adequate time to perform required functions and can contribute to greater levels of patient satisfaction.

Patient harm directly related to inadequate staffing must be reported to the appropriate state and Federal regulatory agencies.

References

- 1.American Association for Respiratory Care Position Statement: Best Practices in Respiratory Care Productivity and Staffing 2012. (http://www.aarc.org/resources/position_statements/productivity_and_staffing.html)
- 2. 42 C.F.R. § 482.57 Condition of Participation: Respiratory Care Services. 3. Schwnezer K and Wang L. Assessing Moral Distress in Respiratory Care practitioners. Crit Care Med, 2006, Dec; 34(12): 2967-73.
- 4. Grady D, Smith T, and Collar L. A Comparison of Metrics for a Respiratory Care Department in an 800-Bed Medical Center. Respiratory Care, 2011 Oct; 56(10): 1703. 5. Dummit L. Relative Value Units. National Health Policy Forum. The George Washington University. www.nhpf.org, February 12, 2009, 1-5.
- 6. Grady D. and Smith T. Healthcare Cost Reductions Using a Daily, RVU-Based, Flex-Staffing System for a Respiratory Care Department. Respiratory Care, 2011 (Abstract) Oct; 56(10): 1703.
- 7. Chatburn RL, Gole S, Schenk, P, Hoisington E, and Stoller. Respiratory Care Work Assignment Based on Work Rate Instead of Work Load. Resp Care. 2011, Nov; 56(11): 1785-1790.
- 8. Àmérican Association for Respiratory Care. Uniform Reporting Manual. 5th Ed. Dallas, Tx, Daedalus publishers, 2012.